

NAVY INSTALLATIONS

INSTALLATION-SPECIFIC ACTIONS	FY 2012 FTE	FY 2013 (-20%)	FY 2014 (-40%)	FY 2015 (-60%)	FY 2016 (-80%)	FY 2017 (-100%)
DAVISVILLE	0.40	The original FY 2013 request for Davisville (0.40 FTE) is being reduced to 0.32 FTE. Impacts: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OU7- Focused Feasibility Study (FFS) will be reviewed within FFA requirement timeframes, and not accelerated. Proposed Plan will not start to be reviewed until the FFS becomes final and then will be reviewed within FFA time-frames and not accelerated. • OU9 - ROD will be reviewed within FFA timeframes, not accelerated. Remedial Design (RD) will not start to be reviewed until the ROD is finalized. 	The original FY 2014 request for Davisville (0.40 FTE) is being reduced to 0.24 FTE. Impacts: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OU7 - ROD will be reviewed within FFA timeframes and not accelerated. RD will not start to be reviewed until the ROD is finalized. • OU9 - RD documents will be reviewed within FFA timeframes and not accelerated. This will likely delay implementation of the remedy. 	The original FY 2015 request for Davisville (0.40 FTE) is being reduced to 0.16 FTE. Impacts: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OU7 - RD documents will be reviewed within FFA time-frames and not accelerated. This will likely delay implementation of the remedy. • OU9 - Remedial Action (RA) complete documents will be reviewed within FFA timeframes. 	The original FY 2016 request for Davisville (0.20 FTE) is being reduced to 0.08 FTE. Impacts: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OU7- RA complete documents will be reviewed within FFA timeframes and not accelerated. This will likely delay the OPS determination. • OU9 - OPS determination will be a low priority which will delay transfer. 	No EPA request for FTE in FY 2017.
SOUTH WEYMOUTH	1.00	The original FY 2013 request for South Weymouth (1.00 FTE) is being reduced to 0.80 FTE. Impacts: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OU14 - RI/FS will be reviewed/ approved per FFA-required timeframes, not accelerated. Proposed Plan will be reviewed/ approved per FFA-required timeframes and will not be accelerated; review cannot begin until RI/FS is finalized. • ROD will be reviewed/approved per FFA-required timeframes and 	The original FY 2014 request for South Weymouth (0.80 FTE) is being reduced to 0.60 FTE. Impacts: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OU09 - RI/FS will be reviewed/ completed per FFA-required timeframes, not accelerated. Proposed Plan will be reviewed/ approved per FFA-required timeframes and will not be accelerated; review cannot begin until RI/FS is finalized. ROD will be reviewed/approved 	The original FY 2015 request for South Weymouth (0.60 FTE) is being reduced to 0.40 FTE. Impacts: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OU11 - RA Completion Report will be reviewed/approved per FFA-required timeframes and will not be accelerated; will likely delay OPS determination. • OU09 - RD will be reviewed/ approved per FFA-required timeframes and will not be accelerated; review cannot 	The original FY 2016 request for South Weymouth (0.40 FTE) is being reduced to 0.20 FTE. Impacts: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OU14 - RA Completion Report will be reviewed/approved per FFA-required timeframes, will not be accelerated. Will likely delay OPS determination. • OU11 - OPS determination will be a low priority which will delay transfer. 	The original FY 2013 request for South Weymouth (0.20 FTE) is being reduced to 0.00 FTE. Impacts: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OU09 - RA Completion Report will be reviewed/ approved per FFA-required timeframes, will not be accelerated; will likely delay OPS determination/ property transfer. OPS determination will be a low priority, will delay transfer.

NAVY INSTALLATIONS

<p>SOUTH WEYMOUTH (continues)</p>		<p>will not be accelerated; review cannot begin until Proposed Plan is finalized.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OU11 – RD will be reviewed/ approved per FFA-required timeframes and will not be accelerated; will likely delay implementation of the remedy. • OU Hangar 1 - ROD Amendment (PFCs) will be reviewed/ approved per FFA-required timeframes and not accelerated; will likely delay property transfer. • OU07 - RA Completion Report will be reviewed/approved per FFA-required timeframes and not accelerated –delay transfer. • OU23 - RI/FS/Removal completion will be reviewed/approved per FFA-required timeframes and not accelerated. Proposed Plan will be reviewed/approved per FFA-related timeframes and will not be accelerated; however, review cannot begin until RI/FS is finalized. ROD will be reviewed/approved per FFA-required timeframes and will not be accelerated; review cannot begin until Proposed Plan is finalized concurrence - delay transfer. 	<p>per FFA-required timeframes and will not be accelerated; review cannot begin until Proposed Plan is finalized.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OU14 – RD will be reviewed/ approved per FFA-required timeframes and will not be accelerated; review cannot begin until ROD is finalized; will likely delay implementation of the remedy. • OU24 - RI/FS/removal completion will be reviewed/ completed per FFA-required timeframes and not accelerated. Proposed Plan will be reviewed/ approved per FFA-required timeframes and will not be accelerated; review cannot begin until RI/FS is finalized. ROD will be reviewed/approved per FFA-required timeframes and will not be accelerated; review cannot begin until Proposed Plan is finalized - delay transfer. • Second (site-wide) Five Year Review will be reviewed/ completed per FFA-required timeframes and not accelerated. 	<p>begin until ROD is finalized; will likely delay implementation of the remedy.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OU24 - RD will be reviewed/ approved per FFA-required timeframes and will not be accelerated; review cannot begin until ROD is finalized; will likely delay implementation of the remedy. 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OU24 - RA Completion Report will be reviewed/ approved per FFA-required timeframes and will not be accelerated; will likely delay OPS determination/ property transfer. OPS determination will be a low priority which will delay transfer. • OU14 – OPS determination will be a low priority which will delay transfer. • PCOR will be reviewed per FFA-required timeframes and will not be accelerated. • OU01 - O&M Completion Report will be reviewed/ approved per FFA-required timeframes and will not be accelerated. • Site Close Out Report will be reviewed/approved per FFA-required timeframes and will not be accelerated.
--	--	---	---	--	--	---

NAVY INSTALLATIONS

NAPR	1.00	<p>The original FY 2013 request for NAPR (1.00 FTE) is being reduced to 0.80 FTE. Impacts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • With a reduction to 0.80 FTE, EPA should be able to complete review work for most, but not all, of the 37 documents (see below), expected to be submitted in FY 2013 for EPA review and approval. • However, a limited number (estimated at 6 -7) of the documents may not be reviewed or approved by EPA in FY 2013. <p><u>Documents:</u></p> <p>6 Semiannual/Annual Groundwater Monitoring Reports:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SWMU 3 (2 semiannual reports), • AOCF (3 quarterly and 1 annual report). <p>5 RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI) Final Reports: SWMUs 14, 70, 71, 75, 77, 78, 79, 80, and AOC E.</p> <p>14 Corrective Measures Study (CMS) Work Plans and/or Final Reports:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SWMUs 1, 2, 11, 27, 28, 29, 57, 70, 74 (3 separate reports for 3 areas), • AOCF - Site 1738 	<p>The original FY 2014 request for NAPR (1.00 FTE) is being reduced to 0.60 FTE. Impacts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Complete review of 6-7 carry-over documents submitted in FY 2013. • Because of reduction in FTE support, EPA may be able to complete reviews on approximately 50% (i.e. approximately 20 documents) of the 39 additional documents expected to be submitted in FY 2014. 	<p>The original FY 2015 request for NAPR (1.00 FTE) is being reduced to 0.40 FTE. Impacts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Complete reviews of approximately 19 carryover documents submitted in FY 2014. • Only a limited number of additional documents to be submitted in FY 2015 for EPA review and approval may get reviewed by EPA in FY 2015. 	<p>The original FY 2016 request for NAPR (1.00 FTE) is being reduced to 0.20 FTE. Impacts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Complete a limited number of carryover reviews for documents submitted in FY 2015. • Any additional documents to be submitted in FY 2016 for EPA review and approval may not get reviewed by EPA. 	<p>No EPA request for FTE in FY 2017.</p>
-------------	-------------	---	---	---	---	---

NAVY INSTALLATIONS

NAPR (continues)		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Work Plans: SWMUs 77, AOCF - Site 1738 <p>10 Corrective Measures Implementation (CMI) Work Plans, and/or Interim or Final Reports:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• SWMU 7 & 8 (Interim Report);• SWMU 11 (Work Plan);• SWMU 54 (Interim Report);• SWMU 55 (Interim Report);• SWMU 56 (Work Plan);• SWMU 59 (Work Plan);• SWMU 61 (Work Plan);• SWMU 69 (Work Plan);• SWMU 80 (Work Plan);• AOCF - Sites 520 and 1738 (Work Plan).• Annual Land Use Control Reports: 1 by the Navy for Navy and LRA owned lands, and 1 by PR Port Authority, for airport and port parcels.				
---------------------	--	---	--	--	--	--

NAVY INSTALLATIONS

ADAK	0.50	<p>The original FY 2013 request for Adak (0.50 FTE) is being reduced to 0.40 FTE. Impact:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Region 10 has prioritized all site work and is considering a reduction in effort at lower priority sites. This effort will enable Region 10 to direct its attention to higher priority sites with the most risk. Adak will have to compete with all other sites for attention. 	<p>The original FY 2014 request for Adak (0.50 FTE) is being reduced to 0.30 FTE.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Region 10 has prioritized all site work and is considering a reduction in effort at lower priority sites. This effort will enable Region 10 to direct its attention to higher priority sites with the most risk. Adak will have to compete with all other sites for attention. 	<p>The original FY 2015 request for Adak (0.50 FTE) is being reduced to 0.20 FTE.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Region 10 has prioritized all site work and is considering a reduction in effort at lower priority sites. This effort will enable Region 10 to direct its attention to higher priority sites with the most risk. Adak will have to compete with all other sites for attention. 	<p>The original FY 2016 request for Adak (0.50 FTE) is being reduced to 0.10 FTE.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Region 10 has prioritized all site work and is considering a reduction in effort at lower priority sites. This effort will enable Region 10 to direct its attention to higher priority sites with the most risk. Adak will have to compete with all other sites for attention. 	<p>The original FY 2017 request for Adak (0.50 FTE) is being reduced to 0.00 FTE.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Region 10 has prioritized all site work and is considering a reduction in effort at lower priority sites. This effort will enable Region 10 to direct its attention to higher priority sites with the most risk. Adak will have to compete with all other sites for attention.
-------------	-------------	--	--	--	--	--

NAVY INSTALLATIONS

Since EPA Region 9's projected BRAC FTE levels by site for the out-years already represent steady, significant cutbacks (albeit not quite at 20% per year) consistent with successful site project completions/transfers, Region 9 is providing limited detailed site-by-site descriptions of impacts. However, in several high priority site cases (such as NAS Alameda) where EPA had projected minimal annual FTE reductions, such that a 20% reduction would significantly impact site progress, EPA reallocated remaining FTE within a given service from lower priority sites in order to meet project cleanup or transfer schedules. In most cases, these lower priority sites, that are then more significantly impacted, are non-NPL BRAC sites. In certain years, EPA may need to further augment FTE to these higher priority sites with FTE from EPA's own FF resources used on all NPL sites in Region 9. This could then impact cleanup progress at BRAC/non-BRAC funded NPL sites (such as, Pearl Harbor, Camp Pendleton, and NC TAMS. See additional site-specific impacts below.

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC ACTIONS (R9)	FY 2012 FTE	FY 2013 20% reduction to Region 9's total Navy program	FY 2014 40% reduction to Region 9's total Navy program	FY 2015 60% reduction to Region 9's total Navy program	FY 2016 80% reduction to Region 9's total Navy program	FY 2017 100% reduction to Region 9's total Navy program
HUNTERS POINT	2.00	The original FY 2013 request for Hunters Point (1.75 FTE) remains unchanged. EPA reallocated FTE from lower priority non-NPL Navy BRAC sites (Tustin, Treasure Island, and Mare Island) to supplement FTE at Hunter's Point. As a result, no impact statements regarding reductions are included.	The original FY 2014 request for Hunters Point (1.50 FTE) remains unchanged. EPA reallocated FTE from lower priority non-NPL Navy BRAC sites (Tustin, Treasure Island, Long Beach, and Mare Island) to supplement FTE at Hunter's Point. As a result, no impact statements regarding reductions are included.	The original FY 2015 request for Hunters Point (1.50 FTE) is being reduced to 1.25 (17% reduction). EPA reallocated FTE from a lower priority non-NPL Navy BRAC site (Treasure Island) to supplement FTE at Hunters Point. Impact: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4 FY 2015 targets at risk (all RA Completions) 	The original FY 2016 request for Hunters Point (1.00 FTE) is being reduced to 0.55 (45% reduction). EPA reallocated FTE from lower priority non-NPL Navy BRAC site (Tustin, Treasure Island, Long Beach, and Mare Island) to supplement FTE at Hunters Point. Impacts include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EPA will have a difficult time expediting review times as has been done in the past. • EPA will take advantage of more automatic 30 day extensions on draft FFA documents. • EPA may have to cut back supporting the Navy on Community Involvement efforts. • 2 RA Starts at risk. 	No EPA request for FTE in FY 2017.
HUNTERS POINT (PRIVATIZATION)	0.25	No EPA request for FTE in FY 2013. EPA reallocated additional FTE from this site to supplement FTE on other Region 9 Navy BRAC sites.	No EPA request for FTE in FY 2014. EPA reallocated additional FTE from this site to supplement FTE on other Region 9 Navy BRAC sites.	No EPA request for FTE in FY 2015. EPA reallocated additional FTE from this site to supplement FTE on other Region 9 Navy BRAC sites.	No EPA request for FTE in FY 2016. EPA reallocated additional FTE from this site to supplement FTE on other Region 9 Navy BRAC sites.	No EPA request for FTE in FY 2017.

NAVY INSTALLATIONS

LONG BEACH	0.10	The original FY 2013 request for Long Beach (0.10 FTE) remains unchanged. EPA reallocated FTE from lower priority non-NPL Navy BRAC sites to supplement FTE on Long Beach. As a result, no impact statements regarding reductions are included.	No EPA request for FTE in FY 2014. EPA reallocated additional FTE from this site to supplement FTE on other higher priority Region 9 BRAC Navy BRAC sites.	No EPA request for FTE in FY 2015. EPA reallocated additional FTE from this site to supplement FTE on other higher priority Region 9 BRAC Navy BRAC sites.	No EPA request for FTE in FY 2016. EPA reallocated additional FTE from this site to supplement FTE on other higher priority Region 9 BRAC Navy BRAC sites.	No EPA request for FTE in FY 2017.
TUSTIN	0.30	<p>The original FY 2013 request for Tustin (0.20 FTE) is being reduced to 0.10 FTE (50% reduction). EPA reallocated additional FTE from this lower priority site to supplement FTE on higher priority Region 9 Navy BRAC sites. Impacts include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EPA will provide selective review of any documents or deliverables. • EPA will provide limited support to the Navy in resolving issues with the state. • EPA will provide limited assistance in community Involvement activities. 	<p>The original FY 2014 request for Tustin (0.10 FTE) is being reduced to 0.0 FTE (100% reduction). EPA reallocated additional FTE from this lower priority site to supplement FTE on higher priority Region 9 Navy BRAC sites. Impacts include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Because this is a non-NPL site, EPA will completely divest from the site. • EPA will not review any documents or deliverables. • EPA will be unable to support the Navy in resolving issues with the state. • EPA will be unable to assist in Community Involvement activities. 	No EPA request for FTE in FY 2015. EPA reallocated additional FTE from this lower priority site to supplement FTE on higher priority Region 9 Navy BRAC sites.	No EPA request for FTE in FY 2016. EPA reallocated additional FTE from this lower priority site to supplement FTE on higher priority Region 9 Navy BRAC sites.	No EPA request for FTE in FY 2017.

NAVY INSTALLATIONS

TREASURE ISLAND	0.50	<p>The original FY 2013 request for Treasure Island (0.50 FTE) is being reduced to 0.33 FTE (34% reduction). EPA reallocated additional FTE from this lower priority non-NPL Navy BRAC site to supplement FTE on higher priority Region 9 Navy BRAC sites. Impacts include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EPA will provide selective review of any documents or deliverables. • EPA will provide limited support to the Navy in resolving issues with the state. • EPA will provide limited assistance in community Involvement activities. 	<p>The original FY 2014 request for Treasure Island (0.50 FTE) is being reduced to 0.22 FTE (56% reduction). EPA reallocated additional FTE from this lower priority non-NPL Navy BRAC site to supplement FTE on higher priority Region 9 Navy BRAC sites. Impacts include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EPA will provide selective review of any documents or deliverables. • EPA will provide limited support to the Navy in resolving issues with the state. • EPA will provide limited assistance in community Involvement activities. 	<p>The original FY 2015 request for Treasure Island (0.30 FTE) is being reduced to 0.18 FTE (40% reduction). EPA reallocated additional FTE from this lower priority non-NPL Navy BRAC site to supplement FTE on higher priority Region 9 Navy BRAC sites. Impacts include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EPA will provide selective review any documents or deliverables. • EPA will provide limited to support the Navy in resolving issues with the state. • EPA will provide limited assistance in Community Involvement activities. 	<p>The original FY 2016 request for Treasure Island (0.30 FTE) is being reduced to 0.0 FTE (100% reduction). EPA reallocated additional FTE from this lower priority site to supplement FTE on higher priority Region 9 Navy BRAC sites. Impacts include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Because this is a non-NPL site, EPA will completely divest from the site. • EPA will not review any documents or deliverables. • EPA will be unable to support the Navy in resolving issues with the state. • EPA will be unable to assist in Community Involvement activities. 	<p>The original FY 2017 request for Treasure Island (0.30 FTE) is being reduced to 0.0 FTE (100% reduction). Impacts include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Because this is a non-NPL site, EPA will completely divest from the site. • EPA will not review any documents or deliverables. • EPA will be unable to support the Navy in resolving issues with the state. • EPA will be unable to assist in Community Involvement activities.
EL TORO	0.80	<p>The original FY 2013 request for El Toro (0.50 FTE) remains unchanged. EPA reallocated additional FTE from this site to supplement FTE on higher priority sites. Since the FTE level remains unchanged from EPA's request, no impact statements regarding reductions are included.</p>	<p>The original FY 2014 request for El Toro (0.20 FTE) remains unchanged. EPA reallocated additional FTE from this site to supplement FTE on higher priority sites. As a result, no impact statements regarding reductions are included.</p>	<p>No EPA request for FTE in FY 2015. EPA reallocated additional FTE from this site to supplement FTE on higher priority sites. Since the FTE level remains unchanged from EPA's request, no impact statements regarding reductions are included.</p>	<p>No EPA request for FTE in FY 2016. EPA reallocated additional FTE from this site to supplement FTE on higher priority sites. Since the FTE level remains unchanged from EPA's request, no impact statements regarding reductions are included.</p>	<p>No EPA request for FTE in FY 2017.</p>

NAVY INSTALLATIONS

ALAMEDA	2.25	The original FY 2013 request for Alameda (2.25 FTE) remains unchanged. EPA reallocated FTE from lower priority non-NPL Navy BRAC sites (Tustin, Treasure Island, and Mare Island) to supplement FTE on Alameda. As a result, no impact statements regarding reductions are included.	The original FY 2014 request for Alameda (2.00 FTE) remains unchanged. EPA reallocated FTE from lower priority non-NPL Navy BRAC sites (Tustin, Treasure Island, Long Beach and Mare Island) to supplement FTE on Alameda. As a result, no impact statements regarding reductions are included.	The original FY 2015 request for Alameda (1.50 FTE) is being reduced to 1.25 FTE (17% reduction). EPA reallocated FTE from lower priority non-NPL Navy BRAC sites (Tustin, Treasure Island, Long Beach and Mare Island) to supplement FTE on Alameda. Impact: 9 FY 2015 CERCLA Targets are at risk (3 RA Starts, 6 RA Completions).	The original FY 2016 request for Alameda (1.50 FTE) is being reduced to 0.79 FTE (47% reduction). EPA reallocated FTE from lower priority non-NPL Navy BRAC sites (Tustin, Treasure Island, Long Beach and Mare Island) to supplement FTE on Alameda. Impacts include: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• To keep up with the tremendous amount of deliverables, EPA will not be able to commit to as many expedited review timeframes, and will likely take advantage of more automatic 30 day extensions on draft FFA documents.• Generally, clean-up and transfer timelines will be pushed out.• EPA will not be as supportive in the area of community involvement.• Because this remains a high priority site, a 47% cut to Alameda will require EPA to shift additional resources off non-BRAC NPL sites, such as Pearl Harbor, NCTAMS and Camp Pendleton.• Cuts to Alameda and a shifting of resources will result in at least 3 CERCLA targets at risk for Alameda (1 RA Completion, 1 Five	The original FY 2017 request for Alameda (1.00 FTE) is being reduced to 0.00 FTE (100% reduction). Impacts to this site include: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Because this remains a high priority site, a 100% cut to Alameda will require EPA to shift additional resources off non-BRAC NPL sites, such as Pearl Harbor, NC TAMS, Camp Pendleton and several Air Force Sites.• Cuts to Alameda and a shifting of resources will result in at least 1 CERCLA target at risk for Alameda (RA Complete) and an additional 5 CERCLA targets at risk for NC TAMS.• Even so, these significant cuts will require EPA to prioritize its work to major primary documents supporting the most significant decisions and transfers. But delays in cleanup and property transfer will occur.• EPA will defer to the state on more minor issues.• EPA will have to divest further from community involvement, attending very few RAB meetings.
----------------	-------------	--	---	--	--	--

NAVY INSTALLATIONS

ALAMEDA (continues)					Year Review, 1 Site-wide Ready for Anticipated Reuse) and an additional 3 CERCLA targets at risk for Camp Pendleton and NC TAMs.	
MARE ISLAND	0.50	<p>The original FY 2013 request for Mare Island (0.50 FTE) is being reduced to 0.33 FTE (34% reduction). EPA reallocated additional FTE from this lower priority non-NPL Navy BRAC site to supplement FTE on higher priority Region 9 Navy BRAC sites. Impacts include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EPA will provide selective review any documents or deliverables. • EPA will provide limited to support the Navy in resolving issues with the state. • EPA will provide limited assistance in Community Involvement activities. 	<p>The original FY 2014 request for Mare Island (0.30 FTE) is being reduced to 0.10 FTE (66% reduction). EPA reallocated additional FTE from this lower priority non-NPL Navy BRAC site to supplement FTE on higher priority Region 9 Navy BRAC sites. Impacts include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EPA will provide selective review any documents or deliverables. • EPA will provide limited to support the Navy in resolving issues with the state. • EPA will provide limited assistance in Community Involvement activities. 	No EPA request for FTE in FY 2015. EPA reallocated additional FTE from this lower priority non-NPL Navy BRAC site to supplement FTE on higher priority Region 9 Navy BRAC sites.	No EPA request for FTE in FY 2016. EPA reallocated additional FTE from this lower priority non-NPL Navy BRAC site to supplement FTE on higher priority Region 9 Navy BRAC sites.	No EPA request for FTE in FY 2017.

June 26, 2012